G. P. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906-07
(Muzrai)

File No. 53-1906

Serial Nos. 1-7

Papers Filed.

Month October 1907.

SUBJECT.

Claim of M. S. Gopalarami Rao of Madura to the office of "Mukhami" of the Sri Varadarajaswami Matt at Sosale, Krishnapur Tk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

Muz F 177-03-04
~~5 of 1892~~

LATER REFERENCES.

Muz F 3 of 1908 Sls 1-5
" 6-10

Mur. 8] 1906.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 5

SUBJECT.

Memorial of M. S. Gopalasami Rao.

From

The Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 2901 Dated 13th May 1907

Flag A. M. S. Gopalasami Rao has memorialized H. H. the Maharaja representing that the office of chief manager of the Sri Vyasaroyaswami Matt at Sosale, which is now under Govt management, has been hereditary in his family, and quotes the authority of Nirups &c granted to his ancestors by the Swamies of the Matt in that behalf He also says that he was instrumental in bringing the affairs of the Matt under Govt management, and urges that in consideration of the services rendered by himself and his

File No. 53 of 1906 Muzrai Secretariat.

family to the Matt and in accordance with the Nirups ~~gc~~ granted to him by the Swamies, he prayed Govt to confer upon him the hereditary agentship ~~of~~ the Matt, and complains that his request was not granted.

2. He prays in his present memorial that the hereditary office of manager of the Matt may now be conferred on him.

3. The memorial has been referred to this Department for " report and submission of the file relating to the appointment of Raghavendra Rao as -- Parpattegar" Paper under disposal.

4. With reference to the above, I beg to submit that the claim of Gopalasami Rao for being appointed ' Mukhami ' and Agent of the Sri Vyasaroyaswami Matt and other matters were carefully enquired into and submitted to the late Dewan thro' the late 2nd Councillor for orders. My remarks in the matter are contained in pages 6 to 10 of the Office Note* marked with Slip B. In that Note the documents relied upon by the Memorialist were reviewed, and reference was

* Slip B.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. 53 of 190 1/

Seemukha from Swami - Slip C

made to a communication from the late Swami of the Matt to the effect that the petitioner had no hereditary right to the Agentship or Parpattegarship in the Matt, and that the Swami did not wish that the petitioner should be employed in connection with the Matt. It was thereupon ordered by the late Dewan and the 2nd Councillor that, if the petitioner gave up his pretensions to a hereditary appointment in the Matt and returned all the documents belonging to the Matt which were in his possession, he might be employed on pay Rs. 10 per mensem (~~which~~ which was the --- amount promised to one of his ancestors by a former Swami) to help Govt with his knowledge and experience in their attempts to set right the affairs of the Matt. These orders were intimated to the petitioner in Endorsement No. 4542, dated 7th April 1906. Slip D He did not agree to these terms, but again urged the recognition of his hereditary claims and the grant of pay at Rs. 10 per mensem. The matter went up to-

File No. 53 of 1906 Muzrai Secretariat.

before the present Dewan and the present 2nd Councillor, and orders were passed to the effect that the petitioner should either accept or refuse the terms previously offered by Govt.

5. It is against the foregoing orders -- that Gopalasami Rao has now memorialized H. H. the Maharaja. The former Office Note regarding the petition -er goes fully into his case and shows the memorialist's attitude towards the Matt. Slip B

6. As directed in the Private Secretary's Memorandum, I beg to submit herewith the File of --- papers relating to the appointment of M. Raghavendra Rao as Govt agent of the Vyasarayaswami Matt, which -- received the approval of H. H the Maharaja. The -- late Swami of this Matt having, in consequence of the bad state of the Matt's affairs and the annoyance of creditors, transferred temporarily to the management of the Govt, all the Matt's lands and other endowments in Mysore and in British India, M. Raghavendra Rao, who was formerly 1st Class Commissariat Transport Slip E

Agent and Superintendent, Commissariat Cattle Depôt,
was appointed by Govt as their Agent, under a Power of Attorney granted by the Dewan, on pay Rs. 50 + 20 per mensem, to look after the extensive lands and other concerns of the Matt. The Govt Agent has been touring in the Madras Presidency and enquiring into the circumstances of the ---- several endowment villages, many of which have become alienated to strangers or have been leased out for inadequate sums. The nature of M. Raghavendra Rao's appointment is of a much higher order than the one claimed by the petitioner Gopalasami Rao.

7. In my humble opinion, the Memorialist's quarrelsome temper, conduct and attitude towards the Matt are of such a nature, that they do not justify his being given any active part in the affairs of the Matt. The offer made to him by Govt in the Endorsement of the 7th April 1906 and the conditions under which the offer was made, appear to be the most suitable under the circumstances of the case. The ~~acceptance~~ recognition by ---- Government of hereditary claims which are repudiated

Slip D

File No. 53 ....of 1906 Muzrai Secretariat.

by the Watt, does not seem possible.

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Councillor } 7.7.07 Muntaz Sami Rao

I concur in the above remarks,

11/7/07

10.7.07

5477
13.7.07

15/7/1907

Muz F 53 of 1906 13 7

Submitted to His Highness.

With reference to the Private Secretary's memo No.2901 of the 15th May 1907, forwarding the petition of M.S. Gopalaswami Rao, in regard to the office of Manager of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, I submit a note by the Huzur Secretary explaining the circumstances of the case, together with the entire records.

15/7/1907

8

Chuz F 53 of 1906

14

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

1675
36-

Memorial of M.S.Gopalasami Rao regarding his claims to the Office of Manager to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Order.

The decision not to recognise the petitioner's claims appears to be perfectly correct in view of the Swamy's attitude on the subject. But the attempt to buy him and his pame s over appears to me to be very questionable policy., and as he has not accepted the offer I consider that it should be considered as withdrawn. I would leave the Matt and him to settle their differences between them as best they may. Government is only concerned in the management of the property on behalf of the Matt and in the attitude of the Matt however makes it more difficult to do so it may withdraw assistance it cannot be compelled. If he has documents to which he has no right, there must be other means of getting at them than by force.

Submitted to the 2nd J. for perusal
22/7/07 GL

Secn
24/7/07

Draft GL 23/7/07 2nd 17/8/07 Secret GL 3/7/07

KRAV 19/7/07

Page (9)

[illegible] Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. 53 of 1906

Note continued

The gracious orders of H. H. the Maharaja, dated 19th July 1907, approving of the decision of Govt declining to recognize M. S Gopalasami Rao's claims to the office of Mukhami of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt were communicated to the party by means of an Endorsement. The Govt Advocate was requested to suggest what course might be adopted for getting at certain documents relating to the Matt alleged to be in the possession of the said Gopalasami Rao. Matt Officer states in reply that the only means of getting at them is a civil suit instituted against the said individual within three years from the date of the termination of his agency.

It is however submitted that the claim of Gopalasami Rao to the Agentship of the Matt was never recognized by Govt

Subd) 4/12/07

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. 53 of 1906 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

The filing of a suit against Gopalasami Rao is ~~fraught~~ fraught with difficulties. However, the Swamigalu of the Matt may be asked to send us a list if possible, of the documents &c which are in Gopalasami Rao's --- possession and to state whether they are of importance to the att.

Submitted to the
II Councillor

T Muthusami Rao

26th August 1907

This may be done. But cui bono?

Draft accepted 27/8/07

27/8/07

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. 53 of 190 4

Note cont^d:

The Swamigalu was written to as directed above In reply, the Swamigalu states in the Srimukha now received from him, that there are no references in the Matt to show what documents are in the possession of Gopalasami Rao. He however says that the villages of Iyyanur, Evrampatti &c of the Madura District had been leased out to Anantachen, grandfather of Gopalaswami Rao, but that neither the documents relating to those transactions are now to be found in the Matt nor the title deeds pertaining to the grant of those villages to the Matt are to be found in the Matt. The Swamigalu says that these documents may be in the possession of Gopalasami Rao.

Subd.

File No. 53 of 190 6 Muzrai Secretariat.

Write to the Govt agent and ask about the matt manu AV
villages in the Madura District, Dft. 29/1
and to report upon the particular question.

26/10/07

Issue the draft but ask [illegible]
27/10/07

To The Govt. agent of the Ti
Sl7. Vaidyanatha Iyer Matt

C/o 2111 of 30.10.1907

Direct the submission of a detailed report re the Matt Endow-
ments in the Madura District

Muz F 53-06-1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Ootacamund 18th May 1907.

No. 2901/1

Rifer to 1/5/07

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
5194
15-5-07

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for report and submission of the file relating to the appointment of Rachavendra Rao as Parpatheger.

No. 1431 of 06-07.

By Order,

Reginald [illegible] S.
for Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
1433
13 MAY 1907
TO H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

To.

His Most Gracious Highness
The Maharajah of
Mysore
Bangalore

5194
15-5-07

- An Appeal -

May it please your Gracious Highness

That:-

Pl
Rel
11.8.07

The petitioner belongs to a family that held the office of the Chief Manager of the Estate of the late Vyasaraya Swamy Mutt for over 4 generations and [illegible] the Jagir Man with great zeal and fait equally with all his ancestors as proved by the Swamy Sanads etc in the possession of the humble petitioner in recognition of the services rendered

(21) The exact
Raja Sooriya Duties
fallen into great
polarities, and the
petitioner finding
the necessity of the G
ment intervention
the only factor to save
the Tamil affairs
and prevent further
degeneration he t
addressed the Govern
on various occasions
and after staying his
for over 15 years
past, he succeede
in bringing the Tamils
under the Category of
Government supervision
in the Muzrai Depart

3. As a Consequence
of the above movement
during the time of His
Holiness Srimad Jaga
Sannidhi Sheetha Sri
Padangalavaru the
petitioner found no
encouragement or
favour in the Institu

where the benefits of his whole family were at stake and forced to find his livelihood elsewhere he sought for an appointment in the Postal Department of the British Government. After a trial, on persuasions of the same from Maharaj sahib assurance of the restoration of the hereditary Right of his family in the Samsthan the petitioner resigned his services in the British Government and rejoined those of the Samsthan.

4. In pursuance of the procedure in vogue subsequent to the Government taking over the management of the Sthanam affairs under its direct supervision in the Mulki Department the petitioner submitted a petition to the Secretary Mulki Department [illegible]

Office of the Dodda
a the Chief Manager
Estates.

5. Meanwhile the
Secretary who, unders
the merit of the Ca
both Hadied. acce
and could have do
everything to save t
petitioner from the
present state almos
very ill to resignation
had to retire, an
a new Secretary
Came in. to whom
the same applicat
was sent the Gove
-ment then instea
of replying to his req
for recognition of h
hereditary Rank
approached a certain
Mr Raghavendra Ra
a British Pensioned
to the office of the
Dodda Parapathyag
Office of the Chief Manag
and offered the petition

Bruce place on
in the office of the Secre-
-tarial in matters con-
-nected with the Sans-
-krit. The petitioner
then proceeded to the acts
of the Secretariat and
produced volumes of
documentary evidence
proving the need in
support of the petitioner
and how the Secretary
is forcing the petitioner
family into dire poverty
and hopeless disappoint-
-ment by his actions
as the family was bene-
-fits a pension Rata
owing to the calls of
many demands of the
Indian extended
Lake of Rupees which
the petitioners ancestors
answered in time
on due allowances
of the then Bramins
for adjustment of
their account from the
revenues of the State

6. On
taking to take such
steps to remove the
of the petitioner's rel
the matter was brought
to the notice of the Dewa
Saheb who ordered the
Secretariat to make
proper enquiries w
the result that
the Government
rejected the request
contained in the petit
finding no other way
to repair the petitioner
hopeless failure to receiv
due justice in his case
he now takes an oppor
tunity to beg permissio
to approach your High
ness in the this humble
petition, feeling confide
however, to receive justic
as it ought to be

7. Considering the
too many colors the
case is assuming in
the hands of the pres
Secretariat in the Revenue
department — it

believes & the petitioner that the present Secretary is highly prejudiced in this case to the detriment of the interests of the petitioner's family

& In Conclusion the petitioner humbly begs that the request prayed for in this petition be ordered to be granted after due enquiries by an officer of the State other than the Secretary, that impartial justice may be shown to the petitioners grievances for which act of kindness and justice the petitioner will always feel thankful and pray for your Highness' Long Life and Prosperity.

Your Highness most obedient and humble Servant

&c &c &c

Draft as per H. H's orders
2 Dft
Muzrai

Muz I 53-06-2

Endorsement granted to
residing at in
M. S. Gopalasami Rao Dhena-
puram, Coimbatore District, with
addressed to & the reference to his Memorial, Rec'd.
No. 5194, dated 6th May 1907,
hereditary
praying for the recognition of his
claims to the office of the Sri Vyasa-
of Thirthapuri
royaswami Matt, an Institution
under the temporary management
of Govt in the Muzrai Department

The petitioner is informed that
the decision of Govt already com-
municated to him in this Office
Endorsement No. 4542, dated 7th
April 1906, declining to recognise
his claims, ~~is perfectly correct~~
~~and~~ cannot be modified.

2 Moreover, as the offer
~~has been offered~~ made to the petitioner in
the same endorsement to appoint
him in the Muzrai Secretariat
on Rs 10/- per mensem under

No. 662 S/2.8.07

certain conditions, has not been ~~As he has not~~
by him
accepted ~~the offer~~, ~~till now the Govt~~
Hence, offer is hereby withdrawn.
~~of H. H. the Maharaja declare that~~

~~the offer will be considered as~~

~~withdrawn~~

By order,

H.H's orders)

31/7/09

No. 663

Secretary.

Copy is forwarded to the Govt. Agent, of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, for information.

By order,

Secretary

No. 664

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner of the Chepauk District for information.

By order,

Secretary.

No. 665

Intimate the above to the Swamigalu in a Vyyapuri Patrika

Draft as per H.H.'s order
2 Dft-

Muz. A 53-06-3

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Govt Advocate
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 5 8 07

Received

General No. 676
Muzrai F. No.

2 & 2 Collections
with Office Note

Enclosure No. Nil

Sir,

I am directed to state that one M S Gopalasami Rao of Dharapuram, Coimbatore District, had been petitioning Govt, praying for the recognition of his claim to the hereditary ~~Mouroo~~ office of Mukhasa or Manager of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Math, an institution under the management of Govt. in the Muzrai Dept. The Govt however in their G.O.

C L P—3 000—31-7 06

No 4542, dated 7th April 1906

declined to recognise his claim
but as the Petr was experienced in the Watts affairs
~~but as he stated that he had~~
and was ascertained to be in
~~his~~ possession certain ~~valuable~~
old belonging
documents, to the matt, an offer ~~had~~
was
~~been~~ made to him appointing him
on Rs 40/- per mensem in the Mysore
Secretariat on condition of his
surrendering all the documents and
otherwise conforming to the terms offered
The petitioner subsequently memorialised
His Highness praying for a reconsideration
of the former order. His memorial
has been rejected and His Highness
has observed "If he has documents
"which he has no right, there must
"be other means of getting at them
"than by purchase." In these circs, I am directed to forward
the entire file together with the orders
of H. H. the Maharaja, and I am
to request that you will be so good
as to suggest a course for the recovery
of the documents in question
2 - The favor of an early reply is requested

Yours &c.
7/7/04
Secretary.

21
Encl. 2 to 2 Collectors.

Office of the Government Advocate

in Mysore, Bangalore.

650
9/8/07

Dated 9th August 1907.

Mur A 33-06-4

From

The Government Advocate in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.676 dated 5th August 1907, I have the honor to state that the only means of getting at the documents belonging to the Mutt in the - possession of its late agent or manager is a civil suit instituted against him within three years from the date of the termination of his agency.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

S. Narayana Rao

Government Advocate.

No: 1377 dt 14.9.07

Mun F 53-06-5

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Diali II Class

Secretary Music
Depart

[illegible]

Muz A 53-06-7

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]